

NOISE REPRESENTATIONS OF OPEN SYSTEM DYNAMICS Piotr Szańkowski piotr.szankowski@ifpan.edu.pl Łukasz Cywiński Icyw@ifpan.edu.pl

P. Szańkowski and Ł. Cywiński, "Noise representations of open system dynamics". Scientific Reports, 10, 22189 (2020)

. INTRODUCTION

Consider the dynamics of the system (S) open to its environment (E),

$$\hat{\rho}_S(t) = \operatorname{tr}_E \left(e^{-it\hat{H}_{SE}} \hat{\rho}_S \otimes \hat{\rho}_E e^{it\hat{H}_{SE}} \right)$$

where the total Hamiltonian of the system--environment complex is given by,

$$\hat{H}_{SE} = \hat{H}_S + \hat{S} \otimes \hat{E} + \hat{H}_E$$

It is known that for certain system--environment complexes one can represent the dynamics of S, as if it was driven by an external noise, or the surrogate field, that replaces the environmental degrees of freedom and simulates their influence on the open system

$$\operatorname{tr}_E\left(e^{-it\hat{H}_{SE}}\hat{\rho}_S\otimes\hat{\rho}_E e^{it\hat{H}_{SE}}\right) = \overline{\hat{U}(t|\xi)\hat{\rho}_S\hat{U}^{\dagger}(t|\xi)}$$

where the bar indicated the average over stochastic elements of the surrogate field ξ and the per-trajectory unitary evolution operator reads

$$\hat{U}(t|\xi) = \mathcal{T}e^{-i\int_0^t (\hat{H}_S + \xi(\tau)\hat{S})d\tau}$$

Problem to solve: How and why is it possible that a composite quantum system admits such a surrogate field representation?

II. QUASI-PROBABILITY REPRESENTATION

The system state can be expressed in terms of average-like "trajectory integral"

$$\operatorname{tr}_{E}\left(e^{-it\hat{H}_{SE}}\hat{\rho}_{S}\otimes\hat{\rho}_{E}e^{it\hat{H}_{SE}}\right)=\int \mathcal{D}\xi(t)\mathcal{D}\zeta(t)\mathcal{Q}[\xi(t),\zeta(t)]$$

where the trajectories ξ and ζ path through domain defined by the eigenvalues of the environment-side coupling operator

$$\hat{E} = \sum_{n} e_n |n\rangle \langle n| = \sum_{\xi} \xi \sum_{n:e_n = \xi} |n\rangle \langle n|$$

the super-operator acting on the initial state has the property $\mathcal{U}(t|\xi,\xi)\hat{
ho}_S = 1$ and the functional $\mathcal{Q}[\xi(t), \zeta(t)]$ is the **quasi-probability distribution**.

When the quasi-probability can be interpreted as a proper probability distribution, $\mathcal{Q}[\xi,\zeta] \approx \delta(\xi-\zeta)\mathcal{P}[\xi] \ge 0$

then the trace reduces to stochastic average

$$\int \mathcal{D}\xi \mathcal{D}\zeta \mathcal{Q}[\xi,\zeta] \mathcal{U}(t|\xi,\zeta) \hat{\rho}_S = \int \mathcal{D}\xi \mathcal{P}[\xi] \hat{U}(t|\xi) \hat{\rho}_S \hat{U}^{\dagger}(t|\xi) = \overline{\hat{U}(t|\xi)} \hat{\rho}_S \hat{U}^{\dagger}(t|\xi) + \overline{\hat{U}$$

An equivalent description of quantum process ($\xi(t), \zeta(t)$): an infinite family of **joint** quasi-probabilities for trajectories to pass through a given sequence of values at given times

$$q_E^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{\xi}\boldsymbol{\zeta}\boldsymbol{t}) = \left(\prod_{\substack{l=1\\m_l:e_{n_l}=\xi_l\\m_l:e_{m_l}=\zeta_l}}^k \sum_{\substack{n_1,m_1\\m_l:e_{m_l}=\zeta_l}}\right) \delta_{n_1,m_1} \left(\prod_{l=1}^{k-1} T_{t_l-t_{l+1}}(n_l m_l | n_{l+1} m_{l+1})\right)$$

where the basic building block is the *propagator*

$$T_t(nm|n'm') = \operatorname{tr}_E\left(|m\rangle\langle n|e^{-it\hat{H}_E}|n'\rangle\langle m'|e^{it\hat{H}_E}\right)$$

In this language, the **sufficient condition** for the surrogate field representation to be valid is to $q_E^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{\xi}\boldsymbol{\zeta}\boldsymbol{t}) \approx \delta_{\boldsymbol{\xi},\boldsymbol{\zeta}} p_E^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{\xi}\boldsymbol{t})$

for all *k*, and the **joint probability distribution** given by

$$p_E^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{\xi}\boldsymbol{t}) = \left(\prod_{l=1}^k \sum_{n_l:e_{n_l}=\xi_l}\right) \left(\prod_{l=1}^{k-1} |\langle n_l|e^{-i(t_l-t_{l+1})\hat{H}_E}|n_{l+1}\rangle|^2\right) \langle n_k|\hat{\rho}|_{k-1}$$

III. EXAMPLES

Examples of environment types that facilitate the surrogate field representation.

Quasi-static Coupling

The quasi-probabilities turn into proper probabilities exactly when $\begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{r}} & \hat{\mathbf{r}} \end{bmatrix}$

$$[E, H_E] = 0$$

It results in the surrogate field in the form of *quasi-static noise* or static external field

$$\hat{\rho}_S(t) = \sum_{\xi} p(\xi) e^{-it(\hat{H}_S + \xi\hat{S})}$$

where ξ is a random variable with distribution determined by the initial state of E

 $p(\xi) = \sum \langle n | \hat{\rho}_E | n \rangle$ $n:e_n=\xi$

Environment of Least Action

Let *E* be such that the **least action approximation** applies to its Feynman's path integral representation of its Schrodinger propagators

$$\langle n|e^{-i(t-t')\hat{H}_E}|n'\rangle \propto \int_{x(t')=n'}^{x(t)=n} \mathcal{D}x(\tau)e^{i\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{cl}}[x(\tau)]}$$

where S_{cl} is the classical *action* describing the dynamics in *E*.

If the initial density matrix is diagonal

$$\langle n|\hat{\rho}_E|n'\rangle = \delta(n-n')\rho(n)$$

i.e., it is *not* a Schrodinger's cat type of state, then due to destructive interference caused by rapidly oscillating phases, the trajectory ζ is always forced to match ξ and thus quasi-probabilites turn into proper probabilities.

Open Environment

Let *E* also be open to its environment *D* (but *S* is still only in contact with *E*) and assume that D induces in E a markovian dynamics, such that

$$\hat{o}_E(t) pprox e^{t\mathcal{L}} \hat{
ho}_E$$
 and $e^{t\mathcal{L}}$

In such a case, the propagators transform into

$$T_t(nm|n'm') = \operatorname{tr}_E\left(|m\rangle\langle n|e^{t\mathcal{L}}|n'\rangle\langle m'|\right)$$

The quasi-probabilities reduce to when the **dynamical map** satisfies

$$e^{t\mathcal{L}}|n\rangle\langle n| = \sum_{n'} p_{n'n}(t)|n'\rangle\langle n'| \text{ and } e^{t\mathcal{L}}|n\rangle\langle m| = \sum_{n'} p_{n'm',nm}(t)|n'\rangle\langle m'|$$

Simple example: two-level E with markovian generator

$$\mathcal{C} = -(\gamma/2)[\hat{\sigma}_x, [\hat{\sigma}_x]]$$

that results in the surrogate field in the form of random telegraph noise.

Conclusion: The variety of ways the different environmental dynamics lead to quasi-probabilities that behave as proper probability distributions indicates that there is no one reason why the surrogate field representation is valid.

 $(t)]\mathcal{U}(t|\xi,\zeta)\hat{
ho}_S$

$$\hat{U}(t|\xi)\hat{\rho}_S\hat{U}^{\dagger}(t|\xi)$$

 $(t|\xi)\hat{\rho}_S\hat{U^{\dagger}}(t|\xi)$

 $\langle n_k | \hat{\rho}_E(t_k) | m_k \rangle$

 $(\hat{S}) \hat{\rho}_S e^{it(\hat{H}_S + \xi \hat{S})}$

 $\mathcal{L} = e^{(t-s)\mathcal{L}}e^{s\mathcal{L}}$

 $\hat{\sigma}_x, ullet||$

IV. OBJECTIVITY OF SURROGATE FIELD

The quasi-probabilities are determined exclusively by E-side of the system--environment arrangement,

$$\left\{ \hat{H}_E, \hat{E}, \hat{\rho}_E \right\} \quad \to \quad q_E^{(k)}$$

Therefore, whether quasi-probabilities turn into proper probabilities is independent of S; in other words, if E facilitates the surrogate field ξ , it is the same surrogate for any S coupled to E

Conclusion: The quasi-probability-based surrogate field representation is an universal simulator of open system dynamics.

Conclusion: It is even possible to observe a single random trajectory of surrogate field!

Consider a sequential projective measurements of observable E performed directly on the environment. Given that E facilitates the surrogate field, it can be shown that the probability distribution of reading out a particular sequence of results measured at given times equals

$$\operatorname{prob}(\xi_{t_1}, \xi_{t_2}, \dots, \xi_{t_k}) = p_E^{(k)}(\xi_{t_1}t_1; \xi_{t_2}t_2; \dots, \xi_{t_k}t_k)$$

Observation: Some noise representations are **subjective**, and thus, not all noise reps. can be classified as surrogate fields.

 \bullet \bullet

Consider an open system composed of dephasing qubit

$$S : \hat{H}_S = 0; \ \hat{S} = \frac{1}{2}\hat{\sigma}_z; \ \hat{\rho}_S = \frac{1}{2}(|+\rangle + |-\rangle)(\langle +|+\langle -|)$$

Within so-called Gaussian approximation the dynamics of the qubit are given by

$$\langle +|\hat{\rho}_{S}(t)|-\rangle \propto e^{-\frac{1}{2}\int_{0}^{t} dt_{1}\int_{0}^{t_{1}} dt_{2}\sum_{\xi_{1}}\sum_{\xi_{2},\zeta_{2}}\xi_{1}(\xi_{2}+\zeta_{2})q_{E}^{(2)}(\xi_{1}\xi_{1}t_{1};\xi_{2}\zeta_{2}t_{2})}$$
$$= e^{-\int_{0}^{t} dt_{1}\int_{0}^{t_{1}} dt_{2}C(t_{1},t_{2})}$$

$$\overline{\varphi(t_1)\varphi(t_2)} = C(t_1, t_2)$$

However, unless E facilitates surrogate field, the process $\varphi(t)$ cannot be an universal simulator, as it is incapable to account for an additional phase shift in modified open qubit system (dephasing qubit with biased coupling)

$$S'$$
 :

Therefore, $\varphi(t)$ is a subjective noise--it was a good simulator for S but it stops working for other systems. Only proper quasi-probability-based surrogate field works for all systems.

 $\hat{S}\otimes\hat{E}~
ightarrow~\xi(t)\hat{S}$ $(\boldsymbol{\xi}\boldsymbol{\zeta} \boldsymbol{t})$ so that

For this particular S, even if E does not support a surrogate field, it is always possible to construct a stochastic process $\varphi(t)$ such that its correlation function matches the form

$$\hat{S}' = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{1} + \hat{\sigma}_z)$$