Impact of charge doping, oxygen disorder and hydrostatic pressure on thermoelectric and magnetic properties of NdBa<sub>0.94</sub>La<sub>0.06</sub>Co<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5+ $\delta$ </sub>

J. Piętosa<sup>1</sup>, K. Piotrowski<sup>1</sup>, R. Puźniak<sup>1</sup>, A. Wiśniewski<sup>1</sup>, S. Kolesnik<sup>2</sup>, B. Dabrowski<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institute of Physics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Aleja Lotnikow 32/46, PL-02-668 Warsaw, Poland

<sup>2</sup> Department of Physics, Northern Illinois University, De Kalb, IL 60115, USA

• The layered perovskite NdBaCo<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5.5</sub> is characterized by a sequence of magnetic and electronic phase trainsitions observed with increasing temperature: antiferromagnet-ferromagnet ( $T_N$ =240 K), ferromagnetparamagnet ( $T_{\rm C}$ =260 K), and insulator-metal ( $T_{\rm MIT}$ =350 K).

• The substitution of Ca for Nd (hole doping) have caused a decrease of  $T_{\rm N}$  down to zero for 10% of Ca, and increase of  $T_{\rm C}$  up to coincidation with  $T_{\rm MIT}$  for 16% of Ca.

• The critical temperatures of above mentioned phase transitions can be modified by a substitution at the Ba- or Co-site or by a change of • We have studied the compounds with a wide range of the effective charge doping to probe separately the effects of disruption of the oxygen vacancy ordering and the charge doping.

• Investigation of the thermoelectric and magnetic properties permitted separation of the charge doping and oxygen disorder effects [1,2]. • The magnetic properties under hydrostatic pressure were investigated as well, in order to make a comparison of changes of  $T_N$ ,  $T_C$ , and  $T_{\rm MIT}$  between the cation hole-doped Nd<sub>0.94</sub>Ca<sub>0.06</sub>BaCo<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5+ $\delta$ </sub> [1] and electron-doped NdBa<sub>0.94</sub>La<sub>0.06</sub>Co<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5+δ</sub> systems.

## **Experimental set-up**

The EasyLab MCell10 dedicated for SQUID magnetometer.















- For  $\delta = 0.4-0.6$ , the crystal structure is orthorhombic with oxygen vacancy ordering.
- The samples on the boundary between the orthorhombic and tetragonal phase ranges (e.g.,  $\delta=0.6$ ), can be refined as any of the two phases with similar quality. This indicates possible coexistence of both phases in the transitional range of oxygen contents.

 $T_{\rm C}$  and  $T_{\rm N}$  vs. pressure 260-240-• For  $NdBaCo_2O_{5.5}$  [4]: *H* = 100 Oe  $\underbrace{\underbrace{}}_{200}$  $dT_{N}/dP = 1.03 \text{ K/kbar, } dT_{C}/dP = 0.62 \text{ K}$ a) • The La-Ba substitution (slight e-doping) 180 significantly enhances the pressure 160 coefficient of  $T_{\rm N}$ .

• The magnetic transition at  $T_N$  is likely to be of the first order.

• Within this range of oxygen content the thermoelectric properties are very similar to those of the pure system GdBaCo<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5+ $\delta$ </sub> [4].

maintained.

which the oxygen vacancy ordering of orthorhombic phase was

• A clear relationship between Seebeck coeff. and the charge doping (and proper charge carrier type) for NdBa<sub>0.94</sub>La<sub>0.06</sub>Co<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5+ $\delta$ </sub> is observed (Fig. a). particulary for *T*=150-200 K.

• For *T*=200–300 K both electrons and holes give the contribution to charge transport, with holes having considerably higher mobility [2]. • The temperature dependence of thermal conductivity (Fig. b) exhibits the decrease at the  $T_{\rm MIT}$  due to removal of the electronic contribution for the insulating phase as observed for  $Nd_{1-x}Ca_xBaCo_2O_{5,5}$  [1].







• These results suggest that the hydrostatic pressure stabilizes the antiferromagnetic phase and the stabilization is enhanced not only for the hole doped compositions [4] but also for electron doped ones.

• The stabilization of AFM phase under pressure is enhanced after hole doping by oxygen defects for NdBa<sub>0.94</sub>La<sub>0.06</sub>Co<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5.55</sub> (Fig. c), but it is suppressed for considerably electron doped  $NdBa_{0.94}La_{0.06}Co_2O_{5.48}$  (Fig. a).



 $dT_{c}/dP = -0.39(18) \text{ K/kbar}$ 

 $dT_{\rm N}/dP = -0.11(65)$  K/kbar

 $\delta = 0.48$ 



• In Ref. 5, it was shown that the strength of exchange interactions between the lanthanide ions and the AFM-ordered Co sublattice can be described with the parameter  $B_{ex} = 11.0(5)$  kOe, within molecular field theory. • For H=10 kOe, which is of the order of  $B_{ex}$ , the M(T) dependences do not reveal any significant increase at low temperatures.

- Sharp maximum at  $T_{\rm C}$  is observed for pure NdBaCo<sub>2</sub>O<sub>55</sub>.
- The La–Ba substitution results in a shift of the high-temperature peak, observed at  $T_{\rm C}$  for NdBaCo<sub>2</sub>O<sub>55</sub>, to lower T's, confirming decrease of  $T_{\rm C}$  by La<sup>3+</sup> substitution.
- Second rather broad peak is observed below  $T_N$  for La substituted  $\bullet$ (70–80 K), which may be connected to the ferrimagnetic contribution in antiferromagnetic matrix, seen in dc magnetic measurements.
- The single-phase orthorhombic samples with oxygen orderring were observed for  $0.45 < \delta < 0.55$ . We have found clear relationship between doping with cation and oxygen and the Seebeck coefficient in NdBa<sub>1-v</sub>La<sub>v</sub>Co<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5+δ</sub> (y=0-0.06,  $\delta = 0.48; 0.5; 0.55$ ).
- Thermal conductivity exhibits characteristic decrease at T<sub>MIT</sub> due to removal of the electronic contribution and is well correlated with suppression of the Seebeck coefficient.
- The  $T_{\rm MIT}$  and  $T_{\rm N}$  reach maximum values for slightly electron doped sample with a perfect oxygen ordering  $\delta = 0.5$  while the  $T_{\rm C}$  shows continuous decrease with the increase of  $\delta$ .
- The hydrostatic pressure was observed to stabilize the antiferromagnetic phase for NdBa<sub>0.94</sub>La<sub>0.06</sub>BaCo<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5.5</sub>, whereas the electron
- doping of NdBa<sub>0.94</sub>La<sub>0.06</sub>Co<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5.48</sub> was found to suppress this stabilization.

[1] S. Kolesnik et al., Phys. Rev. B 86, 064434 (2012) [2] A. A. Taskin *et al.*, *Phys. Rev.* B **71**, 134414 (2005) [3] A. A. Taskin *et al.*, *Phys. Rev.* B **73**, 121101(R) (2006) [4] J. Pietosa *et al.*, J. Appl. Phys. **116**, 013903 (2014) [5] J. Więckowski et al., Phys. Rev. B 86, 054404 (2012)